

## Artificial Intelligence's Role in Shaping Future Superintendence Theories and Methods

Muhammad Mujtaba Mitra Zuana<sup>1</sup>, Md. Kausar Alam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitas KH. Abdul Chalim, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Brac University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

---

### ABSTRACT

**Background.** The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into organizational processes is increasingly transforming how leadership functions are carried out. As AI technologies become more widely adopted, traditional leadership models face challenges in adapting to AI-driven decision-making, employee management, and organizational governance. Existing leadership frameworks often overlook the role of AI, particularly in providing data-driven insights and supporting managerial decisions, while also raising ethical concerns such as algorithmic bias and lack of transparency..

**Purpose.** This paper aims to explore the evolving relationship between leadership and AI, highlighting the need to update leadership theories to accommodate AI's growing influence. It also seeks to identify gaps in traditional leadership frameworks and propose a more adaptive approach that integrates technological capabilities with human leadership qualities.

**Method.** The study uses a conceptual and literature-based approach by reviewing existing theories, academic studies, and scholarly discussions related to leadership and artificial intelligence. This approach allows the analysis of current trends, challenges, and opportunities in integrating AI within leadership practices.

**Results.** The analysis shows that AI can significantly improve leadership effectiveness by offering data-driven insights, automating routine managerial tasks, and enabling more personalized leadership interactions. However, several risks remain, including algorithmic bias, limited transparency in AI systems, and the potential weakening of essential human-centered leadership qualities such as empathy, ethical judgment, and emotional intelligence.

**Conclusion.** The study concludes that future leadership models should adopt a hybrid approach that combines the analytical strengths of AI with critical human capabilities. By integrating technology with empathy, ethical awareness, and emotional intelligence, organizations can develop more effective leadership frameworks. Such models will support leadership education, organizational governance, and business strategy in adapting to the evolving demands of the AI-driven era.

### KEYWORDS

AI-driven leadership, AI-decision-making, Human-AI Collaboration, AI Leadership Integration, Leadership Theories

---

### INTRODUCTION

Historically, conceptions of leadership have mostly concentrated on human decision-making, emotional intelligence, and the ability to affect interpersonal relationships. On the other hand, these dynamics are undergoing a dramatic transition as a result of the rise of artificial intelligence (AI). While existing theories of leadership do a good job of highlighting important human

---

#### Correspondence:

Muhammad Mujtaba Mitra Zuana  
[mujtaba.mitrazuana@gmail.com](mailto:mujtaba.mitrazuana@gmail.com)

**Received:** March 1, 2026

**Accepted:** March 12, 2026

**Published:** March 12, 2026



characteristics like emotional intelligence and motivation, they frequently fail to appropriately address the enormous impact that artificial intelligence has on decision-making processes. In many cases, these theories fail to take into account the impact that algorithmic management has on the autonomy of leadership, as well as the necessity for leaders to adapt in an environment in which artificial intelligence is progressively taking on conventional duties. Artificial intelligence's influence on leadership behaviors is not fully included into any leadership

paradigm that is commonly recognized. An important question arises as a result of this: Should the leadership theories that are currently in use adapt to incorporate AI, or is it necessary to develop completely new models?

Throughout history, there have been important shifts in leadership. Significant difficulties and excellent opportunities for leadership are presented by the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), which is characterized by rapid breakthroughs in digital technologies, automation, and artificial intelligence (AI). It is vital to review established models of leadership in light of the growing reliance that organizations are placing on artificial intelligence for making informed decisions. Research suggests that artificial intelligence has the potential to improve the efficacy of leadership by providing insights that are driven by data and by automating jobs that are routine.

According to Ghamrawi et al. (2023) and Indrasari and Pamuji (2023), this progression makes it possible for executives to focus on strategic goals and encourage staff participation. Nevertheless, the incorporation of artificial intelligence into leadership practices brings up significant concerns regarding the requirement for new frameworks that are capable of deftly navigating these more complicated situations. Because of this, leadership models need to develop in order to represent the role that AI plays in the transformation of organizational hierarchies. With this evolution, the focus should be on striking a balance between efficiency and human-centric approaches, as well as addressing the ethical problems that are inherent in circumstances where AI is used for leadership.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Artificial Intelligence and the Transformation of Leadership

Leadership theories have historically emphasized human-centered elements such as emotional intelligence, interpersonal influence, and decision-making capabilities. Traditional leadership frameworks focus on leaders' ability to motivate employees, influence organizational behavior, and manage teams through personal judgment and experience. However, the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) is significantly transforming these dynamics, requiring leadership theories to evolve in response to technological integration in organizational processes

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), characterized by rapid technological development, automation, and digital transformation, has created new challenges and opportunities for leadership. Organizations increasingly rely on AI technologies to support decision-making and operational efficiency. Research suggests that AI can improve leadership effectiveness by providing data-driven insights, automating repetitive managerial tasks, and enabling leaders to focus on strategic decision-making and employee engagement (Ghamrawi et al., 2023; Indrasari & Pamuji, 2023). These developments indicate that leadership models must adapt to incorporate AI technologies while maintaining the human-centered qualities that define effective leadership.

### The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Leadership Practices

Artificial intelligence has begun reshaping leadership practices by enhancing decision-making processes and improving organizational performance. AI systems can analyze large datasets, identify patterns, and provide predictive insights that help leaders make more informed strategic decisions (Xiong, 2022; Uddin, 2023). In many organizations, AI tools assist leaders in evaluating employee performance, predicting workforce trends, and optimizing management strategies.

Furthermore, AI can automate routine managerial tasks such as performance monitoring, scheduling, and administrative coordination. This automation allows leaders to dedicate more time

to strategic leadership activities, including innovation, organizational development, and employee engagement (Wood et al., 2018). AI technologies also support personalized leadership approaches by analyzing employee sentiment and behavioral patterns, enabling leaders to better understand employee needs and improve workplace engagement (Liu & Song, 2022).

Companies such as Amazon and Google have implemented AI-driven management systems that transform traditional leadership roles by integrating algorithmic decision-making processes into organizational operations (Xiong, 2022). These technologies demonstrate how AI can improve efficiency, optimize workforce performance, and enhance leadership effectiveness.

However, the integration of AI into leadership also raises ethical concerns. Issues such as algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and accountability in AI-driven decision-making require careful consideration by leaders and organizations (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Ethical leadership practices remain essential in ensuring that AI technologies align with organizational values and promote fairness and inclusivity (Uddin, 2023).

### **AI as a Leadership Enabler**

One perspective in the literature views AI as a tool that enhances leadership capabilities rather than replacing human leaders. Proponents of this view argue that AI primarily functions as a decision-support system that helps leaders analyze complex data and make more informed decisions (Shick et al., 2023). AI can identify patterns and trends that may not be visible to human decision-makers, enabling leaders to improve operational efficiency and strategic planning.

AI-driven analytics can also reduce cognitive biases in decision-making and support more objective leadership practices (Walczak, 2016). By leveraging AI-generated insights, leaders can focus on creative thinking, innovation, and relationship-building with employees, which remain areas where human leadership is essential.

Ethical leadership becomes particularly important in AI-driven organizations. Leaders must ensure that AI systems are used responsibly and transparently while maintaining strong interpersonal relationships with employees (Uddin, 2023; Mohan, 2024). This perspective emphasizes that AI should complement human leadership rather than replace it.

### **AI as a Potential Replacement for Human Leadership**

While many scholars view AI as a supportive leadership tool, others argue that AI could potentially replace certain leadership functions. The emergence of algorithmic management systems has enabled organizations to automate tasks such as performance evaluation, task allocation, and workforce monitoring (Abasaheb & Rajagopal, 2023).

Companies such as Uber and Amazon already utilize AI-based management systems that make operational decisions and oversee workforce activities. This development raises questions about whether traditional leadership roles will remain relevant in highly automated organizations (Abasaheb & Rajagopal, 2023).

The increasing reliance on AI for decision-making also introduces ethical concerns related to transparency, accountability, and algorithmic bias (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Leaders must develop new competencies to manage human-AI interactions and ensure that technological systems enhance rather than undermine organizational culture and employee well-being (Yap et al., 2024; Mohan, 2024).

### **Traditional Leadership Theories and AI Influence**

Several traditional leadership theories have shaped modern leadership research, including Trait Theory, Behavioral Theory, Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, and Situational Leadership. Trait theory suggests that effective leaders possess innate characteristics such as intelligence, charisma, and decisiveness (Agustono et al., 2023). Behavioral theories focus on observable leadership behaviors and management styles, emphasizing how leaders interact with their teams (Sari, 2022).

Transformational leadership highlights the importance of inspiring employees and fostering innovation through emotional connections and vision (Rosing et al., 2011). Transactional leadership, on the other hand, emphasizes performance-based rewards and structured management systems (Hwang et al., 2023). Situational leadership argues that effective leaders adapt their leadership style based on the context and needs of their followers (Pugliese et al., 2015).

The emergence of AI challenges many assumptions embedded in these traditional leadership theories. AI technologies provide leaders with data-driven insights that complement human judgment and reshape decision-making processes (Kar et al., 2021). As a result, leadership theories must be reinterpreted to account for AI-assisted decision-making while maintaining essential human leadership qualities such as empathy, trust, and ethical judgment.

### **AI-Enabled Leadership Models**

Recent research suggests that new leadership models are emerging in response to the growing influence of AI in organizations. One such model is AI-augmented leadership, which combines AI analytical capabilities with human-centered decision-making. In this model, AI provides leaders with predictive insights and data analysis while human leaders maintain responsibility for ethical decision-making and strategic interpretation.

Another emerging model is algorithmic leadership, where AI systems perform leadership functions such as monitoring employee performance, assigning tasks, and evaluating outcomes (Petrat et al., 2022). Although this model increases efficiency, it also raises concerns about the diminishing role of human leaders.

A third model is distributed leadership in AI-driven organizations, where decision-making authority is decentralized and shared among team members supported by AI technologies (Akyazı, 2023; Jeong et al., 2024). This approach aligns with the growing trend toward collaborative and flexible organizational structures.

### **Ethical and Organizational Challenges of AI Leadership**

Despite the benefits of AI integration, several challenges remain in implementing AI-driven leadership systems. One major concern is algorithmic bias, which can arise when AI systems are trained on biased datasets and inadvertently perpetuate discrimination in decision-making processes (Uddin et al., 2021). Organizations must implement strategies such as data auditing and fairness constraints to ensure equitable outcomes (Klaic et al., 2020).

Another challenge is the potential loss of human-centered leadership qualities. AI lacks emotional intelligence, empathy, and ethical judgment, which are essential for effective leadership (Robertson & Barling, 2012). Overreliance on AI could lead to depersonalized management approaches that neglect the human aspects of leadership (Blackwell & Young, 2016).

Additionally, employee trust in AI-driven leadership systems is critical for successful implementation. Lack of transparency in AI decision-making can create skepticism and resistance among employees (Alwazzan & Al-Angari, 2020). Transparent communication and clear explanations of AI processes are necessary to build trust and acceptance within organizations (Wilson et al., 2020).

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

### **Research Design**

This study employs a qualitative conceptual research design aimed at examining the evolving relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) and leadership theories and practices. Rather than relying on primary empirical data, the research focuses on a comprehensive literature review and conceptual analysis of existing academic studies related to AI-driven leadership, organizational governance, and decision-making systems. This approach enables the researcher to critically analyze theoretical developments and identify gaps in traditional leadership frameworks in the context of AI integration.

The qualitative conceptual method is appropriate because the research seeks to explore how leadership models are evolving in response to technological transformation rather than measuring specific quantitative relationships. Previous studies have emphasized that AI technologies significantly influence leadership practices by providing data-driven insights, automating managerial tasks, and enhancing workforce management (Xiong, 2022; Uddin, 2023).

The study relies primarily on secondary data obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, conference papers, and relevant scholarly publications related to artificial intelligence and leadership. The literature used in this study includes research on AI-driven decision-making, algorithmic management, ethical leadership, and organizational transformation.

Several key academic sources were reviewed to support the analysis. For example, research on AI's impact on leadership and decision-making highlights how AI systems enhance strategic decision-making through predictive analytics and big data analysis (Shick et al., 2023; Walczak, 2016). Other studies discuss the ethical implications of AI implementation in organizations, particularly issues related to algorithmic bias, transparency, and accountability (Mittelstadt et al., 2016).

Additional literature also explores the role of AI in improving employee engagement and organizational performance through advanced analytics and automation (Ghamrawi et al., 2023; Indrasari & Pamuji, 2023).

Data collection was conducted through a systematic literature review process. Relevant academic sources were identified using scholarly databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus-indexed journals, and other reputable academic repositories. The search focused on key terms including AI leadership, algorithmic management, AI-driven decision-making, and human–AI collaboration in organizations.

After identifying relevant sources, the selected literature was screened and categorized according to thematic relevance, including: 1) The role of AI in leadership decision-making; 2) Traditional leadership theories and their limitations in AI contexts; 3) Emerging AI-enabled leadership models; 4) Ethical challenges in AI-driven leadership.

This process ensured that the analysis incorporated diverse scholarly perspectives on AI integration in leadership practices. The collected literature was analyzed using thematic content analysis, allowing the researcher to identify recurring themes, conceptual patterns, and theoretical debates regarding AI's role in leadership. This method involved reviewing and synthesizing the literature to evaluate how AI technologies influence leadership practices and organizational governance.

The analysis focused on comparing traditional leadership theories—such as transformational leadership, transactional leadership, and situational leadership—with emerging AI-augmented leadership models. Previous studies indicate that AI can enhance leadership decision-making through predictive analytics while still requiring human oversight to ensure ethical and strategic judgment (Rožman et al., 2022; Mahmood et al., 2024). Furthermore, the analysis considered ethical implications associated with AI leadership systems, particularly concerns about algorithmic bias and employee trust in AI-driven decision-making processes (Ferrara, 2023; Tong et al., 2021).

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Results

#### The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Leadership Transformation

The analysis shows that artificial intelligence has significantly transformed leadership practices by improving organizational decision-making processes and operational efficiency. AI technologies enable leaders to analyze large volumes of data, identify trends, and make strategic decisions based on predictive analytics. This capability enhances leadership effectiveness by allowing leaders to rely on data-driven insights rather than solely on intuition and experience (Xiong, 2022; Uddin, 2023).

The findings indicate that AI contributes to leadership effectiveness by automating routine managerial tasks and enabling leaders to focus on strategic initiatives such as innovation, employee engagement, and organizational development. Automation of tasks such as performance monitoring, scheduling, and workforce analytics improves productivity and organizational performance (Wood et al., 2018). Moreover, AI-powered sentiment analysis tools allow leaders to understand employee attitudes and emotional states, enabling more personalized leadership interactions (Liu & Song, 2022).

These findings support previous studies that highlight the importance of AI as a strategic tool for organizational leadership. AI-driven decision-making systems provide leaders with real-time insights that can improve operational efficiency and enhance organizational competitiveness (Ghamrawi et al., 2023; Indrasari & Pamuji, 2023).

### **AI as a Leadership Enabler**

The study identifies that AI primarily functions as a leadership enabler, assisting leaders in improving decision-making and organizational performance rather than replacing human leadership. AI technologies can analyze complex datasets and identify patterns that may not be immediately visible to human decision-makers, thereby improving the accuracy of strategic decisions (Shick et al., 2023).

Furthermore, AI can reduce cognitive bias in decision-making by providing objective, data-driven insights that support leaders in evaluating alternatives and making informed choices (Walczak, 2016). As a result, leaders can allocate more time to activities requiring creativity, innovation, and human interaction—areas where AI currently has limitations.

However, while AI enhances leadership capabilities, the human element remains essential in leadership roles. Ethical leadership practices are particularly important in AI-driven organizations to ensure that technological systems align with organizational values and promote employee well-being (Uddin, 2023; Mohan, 2024).

### **AI as a Potential Replacement for Leadership Roles**

Despite the benefits of AI integration, the results also reveal concerns regarding the potential replacement of human leadership roles by algorithmic systems. Many organizations have begun adopting algorithmic management systems that automate managerial tasks such as task allocation, performance evaluation, and workforce monitoring.

For instance, companies like Uber and Amazon have implemented algorithmic management systems that allow AI to perform operational management functions traditionally handled by human leaders (Abasaheb & Rajagopal, 2023). These developments raise important questions about the future of leadership structures and whether AI could eventually replace certain leadership roles.

However, the findings suggest that although AI can automate certain leadership tasks, it cannot fully replicate human leadership capabilities such as empathy, ethical judgment, and emotional intelligence. Therefore, AI is more likely to complement human leadership rather than completely replace it.

### **AI's Influence on Leadership Theories**

The rise of AI technologies is also reshaping traditional leadership theories. Classical leadership models—including Trait Theory, Behavioral Theory, Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, and Situational Leadership—were developed in organizational environments dominated by human decision-making.

Trait theory emphasizes personal characteristics such as intelligence, charisma, and decisiveness as determinants of effective leadership (Agustono et al., 2023). Behavioral leadership theories focus on observable leadership behaviors and leadership styles (Sari, 2022). Meanwhile, transformational leadership highlights the importance of inspiring employees and fostering innovation (Rosing et al., 2011), whereas transactional leadership focuses on performance-based rewards and structured management systems (Hwang et al., 2023). Situational leadership

emphasizes adaptability in leadership approaches depending on organizational context (Pugliese et al., 2015).

However, the integration of AI into organizational processes challenges several assumptions within these traditional leadership models. AI technologies introduce data-driven decision-making processes that complement human judgment and improve organizational decision-making efficiency (Kar et al., 2021). As a result, leadership theories must evolve to incorporate the role of AI while preserving human-centered leadership qualities such as trust, motivation, and ethical responsibility.

### **Emerging AI-Enabled Leadership Models**

The study identifies several emerging leadership models that integrate AI technologies into leadership practices. One such model is AI-augmented leadership, which combines AI's analytical capabilities with human decision-making and ethical judgment. In this model, AI provides leaders with predictive insights and data analysis while human leaders maintain responsibility for interpreting results and making final decisions.

Another emerging model is algorithmic leadership, where AI systems perform leadership functions such as monitoring employee performance and assigning tasks. This model increases efficiency but raises concerns regarding the reduction of human leadership roles (Petrat et al., 2022).

In addition, distributed leadership in AI organizations is gaining attention as a new leadership approach. In this model, decision-making authority is decentralized and shared among team members supported by AI technologies. AI enables collaborative decision-making by providing real-time data and insights that facilitate team-based leadership (Akyazi, 2023; Jeong et al., 2024).

These models demonstrate that leadership in the AI era is evolving toward more collaborative and technology-supported frameworks.

### **Ethical and Organizational Challenges of AI Leadership**

Despite the benefits of AI integration, the study identifies several ethical and organizational challenges associated with AI-driven leadership. One major concern is algorithmic bias, which occurs when AI systems are trained on biased datasets and unintentionally reproduce discriminatory patterns in decision-making processes (Uddin et al., 2021).

To mitigate these risks, organizations must implement fairness strategies such as dataset auditing and bias mitigation techniques to ensure that AI systems produce equitable outcomes (Klaic et al., 2020). Ethical leadership is therefore essential in managing AI technologies responsibly.

Another challenge is the potential loss of human-centered leadership qualities. While AI can analyze large datasets and generate insights, it lacks emotional intelligence, empathy, and moral judgment—qualities that are essential for effective leadership (Robertson & Barling, 2012). Overreliance on AI systems may lead to depersonalized management practices that ignore the human impact of organizational decisions (Blackwell & Young, 2016).

Additionally, employee trust in AI-driven leadership systems plays a critical role in successful AI adoption. Employees may resist AI systems if they perceive them as unfair or opaque. Transparent communication regarding how AI systems operate is therefore necessary to build trust and ensure employee acceptance of AI-driven decision-making processes (Alwazzan & Al-Angari, 2020; Wilson et al., 2020).

## **CONCLUSION**

A important step forward in the evolution of organizational management is represented by the use of artificial intelligence (AI) into leadership functions. Artificial intelligence has the potential to revolutionize decision-making processes, automate chores, and humanize relationships with leadership. However, this integration also brings forth important ethical problems, such as the possibility of algorithmic bias, the need for transparency, and the possibility of weakening vital leadership traits like empathy and emotional intelligence. It is necessary for enterprises to strike a balance between the efficiency acquired through artificial intelligence and the ethical, human-

centered ideals that drive good leadership in order to effectively address these difficulties. The choice between human and artificial intelligence leadership is not the only challenge facing the future of leadership. The development of hybrid models, in which artificial intelligence functions as a tool that enhances human judgment, creativity, and compassion, will be the main focus instead. In light of this, leadership theories and organizational frameworks need to undergo a transformation in order to incorporate the capabilities of artificial intelligence (AI) while simultaneously protecting the core human characteristics of trust, collaboration, and ethical decision-making. The incorporation of artificial intelligence into leadership should be carried out with caution and responsibility, with the goal of ensuring that its use is in line with the values of the business and has a positive influence on employee engagement and the overall performance of the firm.

## REFERENCES

- Abasaheb, S. and Rajagopal, S. (2023). Maneuvering of digital transformation: role of artificial intelligence in empowering leadership - an empirical overview. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(9), e1667. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i9.1667>
- Agustono, D., Nugroho, R., & Fianto, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in human resource management practices. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i9.13409>
- Akyazi, T. (2023). A study on the relationship between employees' attitude towards artificial intelligence and organizational culture. *Asian Journal of Economics Business and Accounting*, 23(20), 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2023/v23i201105>
- Alwazzan, L. and Al-Angari, S. (2020). Women's leadership in academic medicine: a systematic review of extent, condition, and interventions. *BMJ Open*, 10(1), e032232. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032232>
- Blackwell, D. & Young, T. (2016). Understanding the relationship between urban-centric locale and teachers' perceptions of school leadership as a working condition. *Urban Education*, 56(1), 91–122. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085916677347>
- Budianto, S., Rahadian, D., & Yunita, I. (2025). The emerging landscape of AI-powered leadership: transforming roles and organizations. *jlsdgr*, 5(2), e04139. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730x.sdgsreview.v5.n02.pe04139>
- Cheng, J. and Zeng, J. (2022). Shaping AI's future? China in global AI governance. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 32(143), 794–810. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2022.2107391>
- Ferrara, E. (2023). Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: a brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies (preprint). <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.48399>
- Ghamrawi, N., Shal, T., & Ghamrawi, N. (2023). Exploring the impact of AI on teacher leadership: regressing or expanding? *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(7), 8415–8433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12174-w>
- Hwang, C., Kang, S., & Choi, S. (2023). Coaching leadership and creative performance: a serial mediation model of psychological empowerment and constructive voice behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1077594>
- Indrasari, M. and Pamuji, E. (2023). Enhancing employee performance through strategic initiatives. *Journal of Business Management and Economic Development*, 2(01), 383-396. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jbmed.v2i01.548>
- Jeong, J., Kim, B., & Lee, J. (2024). Navigating AI transitions: how coaching leadership buffers against job stress and protects employee physical health. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1343932>
- Kar, S., Kar, A., & Gupta, M. (2021). Modeling drivers and barriers of artificial intelligence adoption: insights from a strategic management perspective. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting Finance & Management*, 28(4), 217–238. <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1503>

- Klaic, A., Burtscher, M., & Jonas, K. (2020). Fostering team innovation and learning by means of team-centric transformational leadership: the role of teamwork quality. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 93(4), 942-966. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12316>
- Liu, Y. and Song, J. (2022). Predictive analysis of the psychological state of charismatic leaders on employees' work attitudes based on artificial intelligence affective computing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.965658>
- Mahmood, G., Khakwani, M., Zafar, A., & Abbas, Z. (2024). Impact of digital transformation and ai through fostering digital leadership excellence: a focus on sustainable organizational performance. *Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.26710/jafee.v10i1.2925>
- Mittelstadt, B., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*,3(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679>
- Mohan, R. (2024). Leadership skills in the ai-driven enterprise: identifying new competencies for success and humanities conference. *jes*, 20(6s), 1439-1445. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jes.2935>
- Motjolopane, I. and Chanza, M. (2023). Digital transformation dimensions for evaluating smes' readiness for big data analytics and artificial intelligence: a review. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478)*, 12(7), 583-595. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v12i7.2837>
- Mudunuri, L. N., Hullurappa, M., Vemula, V. R., & Selvakumar, P. (2025). AI-Powered Leadership: Shaping the Future of Management. In F. Özsungur (Ed.), *Navigating Organizational Behavior in the Digital Age With AI* (pp. 127-152). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8442-8.ch006>
- Pedroso, J., Siason, N., & Siason, A. (2021). Principal's leadership practices during the COVID-19 pandemic: an exploratory study. *International Journal of Arts and Humanities Studies*, 1(1), 76-87. <https://doi.org/10.32996/ijahs.2021.1.1.12>
- Petrat, D., Yenice, I., Bier, L., & Subtil, I. (2022). Akzeptanz einer künstlichen intelligenz als organisatorische führungskraft: eine fragebogenstudie. *Tatup - Zeitschrift Für Technikfolgenabschätzung in Theorie Und Praxis*, 31(2), 64-69. <https://doi.org/10.14512/tatup.31.2.64>
- Pugliese, F., Acerbi, A., & Marocco, (2015). Emergence of leadership in a group of autonomous robots. *Plos One*, 10(9), e0137234. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137234>
- Robertson, J. & Barling, J. (2012). Greening organizations through leaders' influence on employees' pro-environmental behaviors. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 34(2), 176–194. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1820>
- Rosing, K., Fresé, M., & Bausch, A. (2011). Explaining the heterogeneity of the leadership-innovation relationship: ambidextrous leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22(5), 956-974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.07.014>
- Rožman, M., Oreški, D., & Tominc, P. (2022). Integrating artificial intelligence into a talent management model to increase the work engagement and performance of enterprises. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1014434>
- Sari, I. (2022). Situational leadership practices in school: a literature review. *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*,05(07). <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/v5-i7-22>
- Shick, M., Johnson, N., & Yang, F. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the end of bounded rationality: a new era in organizational decision making. *Development in Learning Organizations an International Journal*, 38(4), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1108/dlo-02-2023-0048>
- Syarifuddin, M., Toha, M., & Hamza, H. (2024). Analysis of the Economic Independence of Islamic Boarding Schools Through Smart Card Digitalization Innovation (Case Study of Al-Amin Islamic

- Boarding School Mojokerto). *Malacca: Journal of Management and Business Development*, 1(2), 56–71. <https://doi.org/10.69965/malacca.v1i2.82>
- Tong, S., Jia, N., Luo, X., & Fang, Z. (2021). The janus face of artificial intelligence feedback: deployment versus disclosure effects on employee performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(9), 1600–1631. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3322>
- Uddin, A. (2023). The era of ai: upholding ethical leadership. *Open Journal of Leadership*, 12(04), 400–417. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2023.124019>
- Uddin, M., Biswas, S., Bhattacharjee, S., Dey, M., & Mahmood, M. (2021). Inspiring employees' ecological behaviors: the roles of corporate environmental strategy, biospheric values, and eco-centric leadership. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(5), 2367–2381. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2751>
- Walczak, S. (2016). Artificial neural networks and other ai applications for business management decision support. *International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development*, 8(4), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijskd.2016100101>
- Wilson, J., North, M., Morris, D., & McClellan, R. (2020). Rethinking implicit leadership theories: tomorrow's leaders are collective, generative, and adaptive. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 14(3), 24–32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jls.21707>
- Wood, A., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2018). Good gig, bad gig: autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. *Work Employment and Society*, 33(1), 56–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017018785616>
- Xiong, W. (2022). Ai and leadership., 497–503. [https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-51-0\\_69](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-51-0_69)
- Yap, C., Leow, C., & Leong, W. (2024). Integrating personality traits in ai-driven business leadership: the role of emotional intelligence, achievement orientation, analytical thinking, and structured leadership using the fikr personality assessment tool. *JCBAR*. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewjcbar42024142>
- Zamroni, M. A., Toha, M., Zuana, M. M. M., & Baiqun Isbahi, M. (2023). Exploring Zakat Distribution Via Blockchain in Indonesia Perspective of Maslahah Mursalah Wahbah Zuhaili. *Indonesian Interdisciplinary Journal of Sharia Economics (IJSE)*, 6(3), 3544–3555. <https://doi.org/10.31538/ijse.v7i3.5821>

**Copyright Holder :**

© Muhammad Mujtaba Mitra Zuana, Md. Kausar Alam  
(2026).

**First Publication Right :**

© Nayaka: Management World Journal

This article is under:

